

| | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/561,787 | WESSEL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Timothy C. Vanoy | 1793 | |

All Participants:

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(2) Ms. Justine Wilbur, applicants' attorney.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 December 2007

Time: 8:45 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested authorization to cancel claim 7 via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization to cancel claim 7 via an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)